

### **Amendments to the Drawings**

The first replacement sheet attached includes changes to Fig. 2 and replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The motor in Fig. 2 is now labeled 120.

The second replacement sheet attached includes changes to the original Fig. 4A and replaces the original sheet including Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B, which are renumbered as Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. The lead line A-A' in the original Fig. 4A (renumbered Fig. 4) is relabeled as 5-5.

The third replacement sheet attached replaces the original sheet including Fig. 5, which is renumbered as Fig. 6.

The fourth replacement sheet attached includes changes to the original Fig. 7 and replaces the original sheet including Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, which are renumbered as Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, respectively. The motor in the original Fig. 7 (renumbered Fig. 8) is now labeled 120.

Attachment: four (4) replacement sheets

## **REMARKS AND RESPONSES**

Claim 10 is amended. Support for the amendments is found in the specification and claims as filed. Accordingly, the amendments do not constitute the addition of new matter.

Claims 1-9 and 12-20 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 11 is cancelled without prejudice. Reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following comments is respectfully requested.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The Office Action states that claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response thereto, Applicants amend claim 10 to include the allowable subject matter of claim 11. Therefore, the amended claim 10 is allowable.

### **Drawing Objection**

The Office Action objects to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show manner in which the circuit board is connected to the motor.

Furthermore, the Office Action objects to the drawings under 37 CFR section 1.84(i) because the line A-A' of Fig 4A fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR section 1.84(i).

Applicants submit herewith four replacement sheets with revised figures. Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action's objection is now overcome.

**Conclusion**

For all of the above reasons, applicants submit that the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the remaining claim is patentable over prior art. Therefore applicants respectfully request allowance for this case in due time.

Respectfully submitted,

/Chao-Chang David Pai/

Date 01/11/2008

Chao-Chang David Pai  
Attorney (Reg. No. 51,195)  
Pai Patent & Trademark Law Firm  
1001 4th Avenue, Suite 3200  
Seattle, WA 98154  
(Tel) 206-372-3162  
(Fax) 206-374-2899